

# “Double counting” risks for ITMOs within and outside NDCs

If countries are to meet their climate goals, the risk of “double counting” emissions reductions must be avoided by finalizing clear and unambiguous international guidance during negotiations to be concluded under Article 6 of the Paris Agreement. Article 6 establishes a framework for international carbon market cooperation under the Paris Agreement, but its success depends on cooperating countries - and international airlines purchasing credits under ICAO’s carbon offset program, CORSIA - only counting transferred emissions reductions once.

To assist countries in their deliberations, EDF conducted an [original, preliminary analysis](#) of the scope of potential double counting of emissions reductions traded as internationally transferred mitigation outcomes (ITMOs) under the Paris Agreement.

## Key Take-Aways

- A volume of emissions reductions equivalent to between 6.5% and 38% of current global emissions could be considered at “high risk” of double counting, using nationally determined contribution (NDC) emissions coverage and mitigation target type as the basis for such risk assessments.
- Even the most conservative estimates of potential emissions reductions outside the scope of NDCs amount to roughly half the annual emissions reductions forecasted to occur in 2030 under full NDC implementation versus a current-policy baseline estimate in the same year (~3 GT).
- Under the most conservative estimate of emissions outside of the scope of NDCs, the cumulative volume of such emissions from 2020-2030 may exceed the volume of total emissions reductions achieved through full NDC implementation in the same period, even assuming no growth in non-NDC sectors. Cumulative emissions outside of NDCs rise to 1.6x total NDC ambition under other less comprehensive coverage scenarios.

## Full Summary

To craft the ranges analyzed in the paper, we first assessed the share of emissions listed as covered within each nation’s NDC. We then crafted four scenarios (see Table 1) that placed different assumptions on the relative risk of each nation’s uncovered emissions, based on the type of mitigation target declared within NDCs. We created distinct scenario conditions for India and China due to the relative ambiguity of their mitigation targets and sector coverage within their NDCs, as well as the materiality of their emissions volumes.

Table 1: Scenario Comparisons and Descriptions

	Target Type Assumptions	China and India Assumptions
Scenario 1A	1. All targets considered low risk, filtered by sector and GHG coverage.	A. China economy-wide, just CO2; India economy-wide, all GHGs
Scenario 1B	1. All targets considered low risk, filtered by sector and GHG coverage.	B. China power sector, just CO2; India NDC mentioned sectors, all GHGs
Scenario 2A	2. Only absolute limit NDC targets considered low risk.	A. China economy-wide, just CO2; India economy-wide, all GHGs
Scenario 2B	2. Only absolute limit NDC targets considered low risk.	B. China power sector, just CO2; India NDC mentioned sectors, all GHGs

We found that, even if we assume full economy-wide CO2 coverage in China’s NDC and full economy wide coverage of all GHGs in India (one of the most generous coverage scenarios in our set), the range of possible uncovered emissions volumes that could be at high-risk of double counting is substantial—especially when examined in relation to total NDC ambition.

Even our conservative estimate of the total annual volume of emissions that fall outside NDCs is approximately 3 GT - half the magnitude of the annual emissions reductions forecasted to occur in 2030 under full NDC implementation versus a current-policy baseline estimate. What’s more, three out of the four risk scenarios estimate that the total volume of emissions at risk of double counting exceeds the magnitude of the annual emissions reductions forecasted to occur in 2030 (Leslie, 2018).

Extrapolating the lowest estimate of annual emissions outside of NDCs (equating to only 6.5% of world emissions outside NDCs, or ~3 GT annually) over the period from 2020-2030, and

assuming baseline growth in non-NDC emissions, the cumulative amount of emissions outside NDCs will be greater than the total CO<sub>2</sub> reductions from full NDC implementation from 2020-2030. (See Table 2)

These numbers could be even higher if India and China's NDC coverage is less comprehensive than we assume in our "best case" scenario. While India's intensity-based mitigation target can be interpreted as economy-wide, it is not clear how corresponding adjustments would apply to the accounting of such a target. Therefore, if we take a conservative approach and assume that only sectors mentioned in their NDC for mitigation actions will have sufficiently transparent accounting practices to be considered as "covered," then cumulative emissions outside of NDCs would be more than 1.6x the world's cumulative emissions reductions from 2020-2030.

Table 2 illustrates these coverage scenarios together.

Table 2: Extended coverage comparisons to cumulative emissions reductions from NDC implementation

	GtCO <sub>2</sub> e
Total Forecasted Cumulative Emissions Reductions due to NDC Implementation, Unconditional only, 2020-2030 (UNEP Emissions Gap Report 2016)	22
Total Forecasted Cumulative Emissions Reductions due to NDC Implementation, Unconditional + Conditional, 2020-2030 (UNEP Emissions Gap Report 2016)	33
Total Estimated Cumulative Volume of Emissions Outside NDCs (covered: All China CO <sub>2</sub> , All India GHGs economy-wide), assuming baseline growth in non-NDC sectors, 2020-2030	34
as % of unconditional NDC ambition	155%
as % of unconditional + conditional NDC ambition	103%
Total Estimated Cumulative Volume of Emissions Outside NDCs (covered: All China CO <sub>2</sub> ; All India GHGs in Mentioned Sectors), assuming baseline growth in non-NDC sectors, 2020-2030	53.6
as % of unconditional NDC ambition	244%
as % of unconditional + conditional NDC ambition	162%

While China and India represent the largest potential share of uncovered emissions, the uncovered emissions of the next 10 countries represent approximately an additional 2.5% of global emissions, as shown below. In six out of these 10 countries, total emissions outside the scope of the NDC represent more than half of national emissions (Table 3). Therefore, the issue of NDC coverage is not restricted to conditions within India and China alone.

Table 3: Top 10 Nations ranked by volume uncovered emissions (omitting China and India)

Country	ISO3	Total Annual Emissions (MMT, 2014)	Total Estimated Covered Annual Emissions (MMT, 2014)	Percent of National Annual Emissions Covered	Total Uncovered Annual Emissions (MMT, 2014)	Uncovered Annual Emissions as Percent of World (MMT, 2014)
Saudi Arabia	SAU	583.37	257.2	44.09%	326.17	0.54%
Bangladesh	BGD	196.93	51.9	26.35%	145.03	0.29%
Cameroon	CMR	196.56	83.05	42.25%	113.51	0.22%
Sudan	SDN	234.55	124.09	52.90%	110.47	0.22%
Iran	IRN	800.68	710.48	88.73%	90.2	0.18%
Egypt	EGY	272.69	200.26	73.44%	72.43	0.14%
Ecuador	ECU	94.53	25.51	26.99%	69.02	0.14%
Philippines	PHL	181.69	128.52	70.73%	53.17	0.11%
Zimbabwe	ZWE	63.79	12.28	19.25%	51.51	0.10%
Honduras	HND	49.6	21.35	43.05%	28.25	0.06%

In sum, this analysis suggests that double counting risk is not supply-limited, but rather demand-limited, and even partial double counting of emissions outside NDCs could significantly degrade the total climate ambition attained through NDC implementation. The transfer of emissions reductions from outside of NDCs may also have implications for the ability of developing countries to progressively expand the scope of their NDCs (encouraged under Article 4.4 of the Paris Agreement), unless such transfers are accounted identically to transfers of in-scope reductions.

## References:

"Emissions Gap Report 2016." UNEP. 2017, Web. [https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/22070/EGR\\_2017.pdf](https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/22070/EGR_2017.pdf)

Hanafi, Alex. "Once is enough: how climate negotiators can protect the environmental integrity of the Paris Agreement by avoiding double counting." Environmental Defense Fund, 2018. Web. <http://blogs.edf.org/climate411/2018/09/04/once-is-enough-how-climate-negotiators-meeting-in-bangkok-can-protect-the-environmental-integrity-of-the-paris-agreement-by-avoiding-double-counting/>

Leslie, Gabriela. "Global Emissions Within and Outside the Scope of Nationally Determined Contributions A Preliminary Analysis of 'Double Counting' Risks for Internationally Transferred Mitigation Outcomes." Environmental Defense Fund, 2018. Web. [https://www.edf.org/sites/default/files/documents/EDF\\_NDC%20Emissions%20Coverage%20Analysis\\_o.pdf?ga=2.95010844.1441654932.1542320231-1029488521.1525699957](https://www.edf.org/sites/default/files/documents/EDF_NDC%20Emissions%20Coverage%20Analysis_o.pdf?ga=2.95010844.1441654932.1542320231-1029488521.1525699957)