

August 19, 2016

Mr. Mark Langer

Clerk

United States Court of Appeals for the

District of Columbia Circuit

E. Barrett Prettyman United States Courthouse

333 Constitution Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001

Re: State of West Virginia v. EPA, Nos. 15-1363 (and consolidated cases);  
Response to Rule 28(j) Letter of Chamber of Commerce of the United States  
of America, et al. (Doc. 1629224)

Dear Mr. Langer:

Environmental Respondent-Intervenors respectfully respond to petitioners' letter regarding *U.S. Sugar Corp. v. EPA*, No. 11-1108 (D.C. Cir. July 29, 2016).

As EPA explains (Doc. 1630924), *Sugar Corp.*'s interpretation of Clean Air Act Section 129 does not support petitioners' claims.

Petitioners' citation to Section 112 likewise does not support their statutory challenges to the Clean Power Plan. Sections 112 (addressing hazardous air pollutants) and 129 (incinerators) both provide that standards "shall not be less stringent" than the level achieved by the cleanest sources. 42 U.S.C. 7412(d)(3), 7429(a)(2). Both mandate even more stringent controls when necessary to reduce "risks to the individual most exposed to emissions from a source in the category." *Id.* 7412(f)(2)(A), 7429(h)(3). Section 112 (unlike Section 129) allows averaging among units at a single facility. *Sugar Corp.*, slip op. 75 n.18. But consistent with both sections' focus on localized risks of health-endangering pollutants, neither allows averaging over broad geographic areas.

Section 111, by contrast, deals with a wider range of pollutants, some with localized impact and others with regional or even global impact. In determining the "best system of emission reduction," EPA must take account of pertinent features of particular pollutants and source categories. Considering the unique characteristics of the global pollutant at issue, 80 Fed. Reg. 64,725, and of the power sector, *id.* 64,664-65, EPA found that Section 111's enumerated factors favor an approach that cost-effectively reduces aggregate carbon dioxide emissions

by allowing maximum implementation flexibility. See *Envtl./Health Intervenor Br.* 6-10; see also *EPA v. EME Homer City Generation, L.P.*, 134 S. Ct. 1584 (2014) (upholding analogous approach for cost-effectively reducing aggregate emissions under 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I), which requires state plans to “prohibit[.]...any source” from emitting pollution that interferes with other states’ air quality standards).

Petitioners’ reliance on *Sugar Corp.*’s discussion of means of compliance with Section 129 standards is not only misplaced, but ironic: In rulemaking comments, many petitioners urged that EPA’s Section 111(d) rule should allow compliance through flexible mechanisms already in use in the power industry. See *Envtl./Health Intervenor Br.* 7-8; see also *Br. for Amicus Dominion Resources, Inc.* 12-16.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sean H. Donahue  
Sean H. Donahue

Sean H. Donahue  
David T. Goldberg  
Donahue & Goldberg, LLP  
1130 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 950  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 277-7085  
sean@donahuegoldberg.com  
*Counsel for Environmental Defense  
Fund*

David Doniger  
Benjamin Longstreth  
Melissa J. Lynch  
Natural Resources Defense Council  
1152 15th Street NW, Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 513-6256  
ddoniger@nrdc.org  
*Counsel for Natural Resources  
Defense Council*

Tomás Carbonell  
Vickie Patton  
Martha Roberts  
Peter Zalzal  
Environmental Defense Fund  
1875 Connecticut Ave., NW  
Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20009  
(202) 572-3610  
*Counsel for Environmental Defense Fund*

Ann Brewster Weeks  
James P. Duffy  
Clean Air Task Force  
18 Tremont Street, Suite 530  
Boston, MA 02108  
(617) 624-0234, ext. 156  
*Counsel for American Lung Association, Clean Air Council, Clean Wisconsin, Conservation Law Foundation, and The Ohio Environmental Council*

Vera P. Pardee  
Kevin P. Bundy  
Center for Biological Diversity  
1212 Broadway, Suite 800  
Oakland, CA 94612  
(415) 632-5317  
*Counsel for Center for Biological Diversity*

Joanne Spalding  
Andres Restrepo  
Alejandra Núñez  
Sierra Club  
2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300  
Oakland, CA 94612  
(415) 977-5725  
*Counsel for Sierra Club*

Howard I. Fox  
David S. Baron  
Timothy D. Ballo  
Earthjustice  
1625 Mass. Ave. NW, Suite 702  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 667-4500  
*Counsel for Sierra Club*

William V. DePaulo  
122 N Court Street, Suite 300  
Lewisburg, WV 24901  
(304) 342-5588  
*Counsel for West Virginia Highlands Conservancy, Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition, Coal River Mountain Watch, Kanawha Forest Coalition, Mon Valley Clean Air Coalition, and Keepers of the Mountains Foundation*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on August 19, 2016, I served the foregoing Rule 28(j) response letter by filing it electronically on the Court's CM/ECF system, which will provide electronic copies to all registered counsel of record.

Sean H. Donahue