1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	HECTOR BALDERAS Attorney General of New Mexico
2	DAVID A. ZONANA, State Bar No. 196029	ARI BIERNOFF, State Bar No. 231818
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GEORGE TORGUN, State Bar No. 222085	BILL GRANTHAM (pro hac vice pending) Assistant Attorneys General
4	SHANNON CLARK, State Bar No. 316409 CONNIE P. SUNG, State Bar No. 304242	201 Third St. NW, Suite 300 Albuquerque, NM 87102
5	Deputy Attorneys General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor	Telephone: (505) 717-3520 E-Mail: wgrantham@nmag.gov
6	P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New
7	Telephone: (510) 879-1974 Fax: (510) 622-2270	Mexico
8	E-mail: George.Torgun@doj.ca.gov	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California	
10	IN THE UNITED STATE	TES DISTRICT COURT
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12		
13	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through	Case No. 4:18-cv-05712-YGR
14	XAVIER BECERRA, ATTORNEY GENERAL, and the CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD; and STATE OF	Consolidated with No. 4:18-cv-05984-YGR
15	NEW MEXICO, by and through HECTOR BALDERAS, ATTORNEY GENERAL,	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER
16 17	Plaintiffs,	Case Management Conf.: Jan. 7, 2019
	<b>v.</b>	Time: 2:00 p.m. Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
18	RYAN ZINKE, Secretary of the Interior;	
19	JOSEPH R. BALASH, Assistant Secretary for Land and Minerals Management, United States	Courtroom 1, 4th Floor, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612
20	Department of the Interior; UNITED STATES BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT; and	
21	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	
22	THE INTERIOR,	
23	Defendants.	
24	The parties to the above-entitled actions s	submit this Joint Case Management Statement
25	and [Proposed] Order pursuant to the Standing C	· ·
26		alez Rogers' Standing Order In Civil Cases dated
27	February 24, 2017, and Civil Local Rule 16-9. U	
28		l
	Joint Case Management Statement – Case Nos. 4	

and Intervenor-Defendants have discussed the items enumerated in the Court's Standing Orders and respectfully submit the following joint statement.

1. Jurisdiction and Service

This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction), and 5 U.S.C. § 702 (Administrative Procedure Act ("APA")). All parties have been served.

### 2. Facts

On September 28, 2018, Defendant U.S. Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") revised in part and rescinded in part a regulation it had adopted in November 2016 to address the waste of natural gas from new and existing oil and gas operations on federal and Indian lands, and to clarify when gas lost through venting, flaring, or leaks is subject to royalties. 83 Fed. Reg. 48,194 (Sept. 28, 2018) (the "Revision/Repeal Rule"). On September 18, 2018, State Plaintiffs filed this litigation challenging the Revision/Repeal Rule. Case No. 4:18-cv-05712. On September 28, 2018, Conservation and Tribal Citizen Group Plaintiffs filed a related case. Case No. 4:18-cv-05984. The Court has consolidated these cases. Case No. 4:18-cv-05712, ECF No. 45; Case No. 4:18-cv-05984, ECF No. 51.

The Western Energy Alliance ("the Alliance") and Independent Petroleum Association of America ("IPAA") and the State of Wyoming ("Wyoming") have intervened as Defendants in these cases. On December 12, 2018, the American Petroleum Institute ("API") moved to intervene. These parties may be collectively referred to as "Intervenor-Defendants."

#### 3. Legal Issues

Plaintiffs allege that, in promulgating the Revision/Repeal Rule, Defendants violated: (1) the Mineral Leasing Act, 30 U.S.C. §§ 187, 225; (2) the Federal Land Policy Management Act ("FLPMA"), 43 U.S.C. §§ 1701(a)(8), 1702(c), 1732(b); (3) the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"), 42 U.S.C. § 4332(C); and (4) the APA, 5 U.S.C. §§ 553, 706(2)(A), (C).

Defendants and Intervenor-Defendants dispute these claims.

## 4. Motions

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The Court granted the Conservation and Tribal Citizen Group Plaintiffs' stipulation to consolidate and the Alliance and IPAA's and Wyoming's motions to intervene. API's motion to intervene is pending.

Because this case is governed by the APA, the parties anticipate resolving this matter through summary judgment motions after the preparation of an administrative record. Accordingly, the parties proposed to depart from the Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases in the following ways. See Judge Gonzalez Rogers' Standing Order in Civil Cases #9 (Motions for Summary Judgment). First, pursuant to Section 6 of the Court's Standing Order, the parties are fully prepared to attend the scheduled case management conference through counsel with authority to enter into stipulations and make admissions, and to address all relevant issues in this Court's standing order and local rules. However, the parties do not believe that a case management conference or pre-filing conference for the summary judgment motions are necessary in this case should the Court adopt the schedule proposed in paragraph 17 below. If the Court wishes to hold a case management conference or pre-filing conference, the parties propose that the Court combine them into a single conference. Second, because of the number and complexity of the claims, the parties propose to exceed the page limits and timing for summary judgment motions. Third, because the undisputed facts will be contained in the administrative record, the parties propose to each provide a statement of facts with citations to the administrative record as part of their motion or cross-motion for summary judgment rather than providing separate supporting and responsive statements of facts. Finally, the parties seek to file more than one motion and cross-motion for summary judgment per side because there are multiple parties with distinct interests on each side. See Order Granting Stipulation to Consolidate Cases, ECF No. 45 ("Consolidation does not require any party to file joint briefs, or impact the rights of any party to file separate pleadings."). The parties' proposed schedule for production of the administrative record and briefing summary judgment is set forth in paragraph 17 below.

## 5. Amendment of Pleadings

The parties do not currently anticipate any further amendment of the pleadings. The parties propose that any such motions, if filed, would toll the summary judgment briefing deadlines until the motions to amend are resolved.

#### 6. Evidence Preservation

The parties have reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information ("ESI Guidelines"), and have met and conferred pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) regarding reasonable and proportionate steps taken to preserve evidence relevant to the issues reasonably evident in these actions. *See* ESI Guidelines 2.01 and 2.02, and Checklist for ESI Meet and Confer. In this matter, such preservation is limited to that necessary to prepare and submit the administrative record.

## 7. Disclosures

The parties anticipate that these actions will be resolved based on the undisputed facts contained in the administrative record for the Revision/Repeal Rule. Evidentiary disclosures are not required in record review cases, and none are anticipated. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(B)(i).

#### 8. Discovery

The parties anticipate that these actions will be resolved based on the undisputed facts contained in the administrative record for the Revision/Repeal Rule and that discovery will not be necessary.

### 9. Class Actions

These cases are not a class action.

## 10. Related Cases

The court has consolidated Case Nos. 4:18-cv-05712-YGR and 4:18-cv-05984-YGR. The parties are aware of no additional related cases pending before another judge of this Court, or before another court or administrative body.

#### 11. Relief

Plaintiffs seek: (a) a declaratory judgment that Defendants acted arbitrarily, capriciously, contrary to law, and in excess of statutory authority, in promulgating the Revision/Repeal Rule;

(b) an order setting aside and vacating the Revision/Repeal Rule; (c) an award of Plaintiffs' costs, expenses, and reasonable attorney fees; and (d) such other relief as the Court deems just and proper. Defendants and Intervenor-Defendants oppose the relief sought and request that the actions be dismissed with prejudice.
12. Settlement and ADR
The parties have complied with ADR L.R. 3-5 and have filed ADR certifications with the Court. The parties do not believe that settlement or ADR would be productive at this time.
13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes

Defendants have declined to consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings including trial and entry of judgment.

### 14. Other References

The parties do not believe that these cases are suitable for reference to binding arbitration, special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

## 15. Narrowing of Issues

The parties do not believe that it is possible to narrow the issues at this time.

#### 16. Expedited Trial Procedure

Because judicial review in these cases is based on an administrative record, they should be decided on summary judgment and no trial is expected to occur. The parties do not request an expedited summary judgment schedule.

#### 17. Scheduling

The parties respectfully propose the schedule below for certifying the administrative record and briefing cross-motions for summary judgment:

Deadline	Action
February 15, 2019	Defendants lodge the administrative record for the
	Revision/Repeal Rule with the Court, and serve on the
	parties for review.
30 days from AR filing	Deadline for parties to confer on administrative record
	disputes.
60 days from AR filing	Any motions challenging the completeness of the administrative record or for leave to supplement the record. (The filing of such a motion shall toll the merits briefing

1		schedule).
2	90 days from AR filing or 60	Plaintiffs' Motions for Summary Judgment. Plaintiffs'
3	days after any and all administrative record-related	motions will not exceed 40 pages each for the State Plaintiffs and Conservation and Tribal Citizen Group
4	motions are fully resolved,	Plaintiffs.
5	whichever comes last 60 days after Plaintiffs file	Federal Defendants' Combined Opposition to Motions for
6	their Motions for Summary Judgment	Summary Judgment and Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. Federal Defendants shall file one brief, which
7		will not exceed 60 pages.
8	14 days after Federal Defendants' Combined	Intervenor-Defendants' Combined Oppositions to Motions for Summary Judgment and Cross-Motions for Summary
9	Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motions for	Judgment. The Alliance, IPAA, and API's briefs will not exceed 40 pages total, to divide as they mutually agree.
10 11	Summary Judgment	Wyoming's brief will not exceed 25 pages.
	30 days after Intervenor-	Plaintiffs' Combined Replies in Support of Motions for
12	Defendants file their Combined Oppositions to	Summary Judgment and Oppositions to Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment. Plaintiffs' replies and oppositions will
13	Motions for	not exceed 45 pages each for the State Plaintiffs and
14	Summary Judgment and Cross-Motions for Summary	Conservation and Tribal Citizen Group Plaintiffs.
15	Judgment	
16	45 days after Plaintiffs file their Combined Replies in	Federal Defendants' Replies in Support of Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. Federal Defendants shall file one
17	Support of Motions for Summary Judgment and	brief, which will not exceed 50 pages.
18	Oppositions to Cross-	
19	Motions for Summary Judgment	
20	14 days after Federal Defendants' Reply in	Intervenor-Defendants' Replies in Support of Cross- Motions for Summary Judgment. The Alliance's, IPAA,
21	Support of Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment	and API's briefs will not exceed 30 pages total, to divide as they mutually agree. Wyoming's brief will not exceed 15
22	- washion	pages.
23	Earliest available date no	Hearing on Motions for Summary Judgment
24	sooner than 30 days after summary judgment briefing	
25	completed	

The parties reserve the right to request or oppose modifications to the page limitations and briefing deadlines above.

26

1	18. <u>Trial</u>
2	A trial is not anticipated in these record review cases. The parties anticipate that the
3	summary judgment hearing will require approximately two hours.
4	19. <u>Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons</u>
5	Conservation and Tribal Citizen Group Plaintiffs and Intervenor-Defendants have certified
6	that there are no non-party interested entities or persons to be reported pursuant to Local Rule 3-
7	15. Local Rule 3-15 does not apply to any governmental entity or its agencies, including State
8	Plaintiffs and Defendants.
9	20. <u>Professional Conduct</u>
10	All attorneys of record for the parties have reviewed the Guidelines for Professional
11	Conduct for the Northern District of California.
12	21. Other
13	The parties are not aware of any other matters that may facilitate the just, speedy, and
14	inexpensive resolution of this matter.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Dated: December 31, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
2		Xavier Becerra
3		Attorney General of California
		DAVID A. ZONANA
4		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
5		/s/ George Torgun
6		GEORGE TORGUN
		SHANNON CLARK CONNIE P. SUNG
7		Deputy Attorneys General
8		
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California, by and through Xavier Becerra, Attorney General, and the California Air Resources Board
		Becerra, Anorney General, and the California Air Resources Board
10		HECTOR BALDERAS
11		Attorney General of New Mexico
12		/s/ Ari Biernoff
13		ARI BIERNOFF
13		BILL GRANTHAM
14		Assistant Attorneys General
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New Mexico, by and through Hector
16		Balderas, Attorney General
10		/s/ Stacey Geis
17		Stacey Geis, CA Bar # 181444
18		Earthjustice
1.0		50 California St., Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94111-4608
19		Phone: (415) 217-2000
20		Fax: (415) 217-2040
21		sgeis@earthjustice.org
		Robin Cooley, CO Bar # 31168 (admitted pro hac vice)
22		Joel Minor, CO Bar # 47822 (admitted pro hac vice)
23		Earthjustice
24		633 17 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1600 Denver, CO 80202
		Phone: (303) 623-9466
25		rcooley@earthjustice.org
26		jminor@earthjustice.org
27		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sierra Club, Fort Berthold Protectors of
		Water and Earth Rights, The Wilderness Society, and Western Organization of Passaures Councils
28		Organization of Resource Councils
	Toint Case Management Stat.	8 ement – Case Nos. 4:18-cy-05712-YGR and 4:18-cy-05984-YGR

## Case 4:18-cv-05712-YGR Document 77 Filed 12/31/18 Page 9 of 13

1	Susannah L. Weaver, DC Bar # 1023021 (admitted pro hac vice)
2	Donahue, Goldberg, & Weaver LLP
	1111 14th Street, NW, Suite 510A Washington, DC 20005
3	Phone: (202) 569-3818
4	susannah@donahuegoldberg.com
5	Peter Zalzal, CO Bar # 42164 (admitted pro hac vice)
	Rosalie Winn, CA Bar # 305616
6	Environmental Defense Fund
7	2060 Broadway, Suite 300
8	Boulder, CO 80302 Phone: (303) 447-7214 (Mr. Zalzal)
	Phone: (303) 447-7212 (Ms. Winn)
9	pzalzal@edf.org
10	rwinn@edf.org
11	Tomás Carbonell, DC Bar # 989797 (admitted pro hac vice)
	Environmental Defense Fund
12	1875 Connecticut Avenue, 6th Floor
13	Washington, D.C. 20009 Phone: (202) 572-3610
	tcarbonell@edf.org
14	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Defense Fund
16	Laura King, MT Bar # 13574 (admitted pro hac vice)
17	Western Environmental Law Center
17	103 Reeder's Alley
18	Helena, MT 59601 Phone: (406) 204-4852
19	king@westernlaw.org
20	Erik Schlenker-Goodrich, NM Bar # 17875 (admitted pro hac vice) Western Environmental Law Center
21	208 Paseo del Pueblo Sur, #602
22	Taos, NM 87571
	Phone: (575) 613-4197
23	eriksg@westernlaw.org
24	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Los Padres ForestWatch, Center for
25	Biological Diversity, Citizens for a Healthy Community, Diné
	Citizens Against Ruining Our Environment, Earthworks, Montana Environmental Information Center, National Wildlife Federation,
26	San Juan Citizens Alliance, WildEarth Guardians, Wilderness
27	Workshop, and Wyoming Outdoor Council
28	
	9

1	Darin Schroeder, KY Bar # 93828 (admitted pro hac vice)
2	Ann Brewster Weeks, MA Bar # 567998 (admitted pro hac vice) Clean Air Task Force
3	114 State Street, 6th Floor
3	Boston, MA 02109
4	Phone: (617) 624-0234
5	dschroeder@catf.us aweeks@catf.us
(	aweeks@eatr.as
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff National Wildlife Federation
7	Scott Strand, MN Bar # 0147151 (admitted pro hac vice)
8	Environmental Law & Policy Center
0	60 S. 6th Street, Suite 2800
9	Minneapolis, MN 55402
10	Phone: (312) 673-6500 Sstrand@elpc.org
11	Summa welpe.org
	Rachel Granneman, IL Bar # 6312936 (admitted pro hac vice)
12	Environmental Law & Policy Center
13	35 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60601
	Phone: (312) 673-6500
14	rgranneman@elpc.org
15	
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Law & Policy Center
	David Doniger, DC Bar # 305383 (admitted pro hac vice)
17	Melissa Lynch, MA Bar # 689235 (admitted pro hac vice)
18	Natural Resources Defense Council
10	1152 15th St. NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20005
19	Phone: (202) 289-6868
20	ddoniger@nrdc.org
21	llynch@nrdc.org
	Attorneys for Plaintiff Natural Resources Defense Council
22	morneys for Fluidity Natural Resources Defense Council
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	10
	10

1	JEAN E. WILLIAMS
2	Deputy Assistant Attorney General
2	Environment & Natural Resources Division
3	United States Department of Justice
4	/s/ Carter F. Thurman
_	CARTER F. THURMAN
5	Trial Attorney, admitted to GA Bar
6	U.S. Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division
7	Natural Resources Section
,	601 D Street, NW
8	Washington, D.C. 20044-7611
9	Tel.: (202) 305-0444 / Fax: (202) 305-0506
	Carter.Thurman@usdoj.gov CLARE M. BORONOW, admitted to MD Bar
10	999 18th Street
11	South Terrace, Suite 370
10	Denver, CO 80202
12	Tel.: (303) 844-1362 / Fax: (303) 844-1350 clare.boronow@usdoj.gov
13	ciare.boronow@usdoj.gov
14	Counsel for Defendants
	/s/ Michael M. Robinson
15	ERIK E. PETERSON (WSB 7-5608), admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>
16	MICHAEL M. ROBINSON (WSB 6-2658), admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>
17	Senior Assistant Attorneys General
17	2320 Capitol Avenue
18	Cheyenne, WY 82002 Telephone: (307) 777-6946
19	Facsimile: (307) 777-3542
19	erik.petersen@wyo.gov
20	mike.robinson@wyo.gov
21	CHRISTIAN L. MARSH (SBN 209442)
22	CHRISTOPHER RENDALL-JACKSON (SBN 288933)
22	DONALD E. SOBELMAN (SBN 184028)
23	DOWNEY BRAND LLP
24	455 Market Street, Suite 1500
24	San Francisco, Ca 94105 Telephone: (415) 848-4800
25	Facsimile: (415) 848-4801
26	cmarsh@downeybrand.com
	<u>crendall-jackson@downeybrand.com</u>
27	dsobelman@downeybrand.com
28	Counsel for Intervenor-Defendant State of Wyoming
	11
	Tourt Case Management Statement Case Nos A:18 oy 05712 VCP and A:18 oy 05084 VCP

1	By: /s/ Eric P. Waeckerlin
2	Eric P. Waeckerlin HOLLAND & HART LLP
3	555 17th Street, Suite 3200
	Denver, Colorado 80202
4	Tel: 303.295.8086 Fax: 303.975.5396
5	epwaeckerlin@hollandhart.com
6	Admitted Pro Hac Vice
7	Kathleen C. Schroder
8	DAVIS GRAHAM&STUBBS LLP 1550 17th Street, Suite 500
	Denver, Colorado 80202
9	Tel: 303.892.9400
10	Fax: 303.893.1379
1.1	Katie.Schroder@dgslaw.com Admitted Pro Hac Vice
11	Admitted 170 Flue 7 lee
12	Attorneys for Intervenors Western Energy Alliance and The
13	Independent Petroleum Association of America
14	/s/ Gary J. Smith
15	Gary J. Smith (SBN 141393)
	BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND, P.C.
16	456 Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104-1251
17	Telephone: (415) 262-4000
18	Facsimile: (415) 262-4040
19	gsmith@bdlaw.com
20	Peter J. Schaumberg, pro hac vice
	James M. Auslander, pro hac vice John G. Cossa, pro hac vice
21	BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND, P.C.
22	1350 I St., N.W., Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005
23	Phone: (202) 789-6009
24	pschaumberg@bdlaw.com jauslander@bdlaw.com
25	jcossa@bdlaw.com
26	Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor
	American Petroleum Institute
27	
28	10

1	[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER
2	The above JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
3	is approved as the Case Management Order for this case, and all parties shall comply with its
4	provisions.
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	
8	Dated:
9	Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers United States District Judge
10	Office States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	13