



List of Supporters of the Clean Power Plan in Court

Broad, diverse interests are supporting the Clean Power Plan in merits litigation pending in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. Their briefs reflect deep expertise and an array of important perspectives. The full list of supporters is set out below together with a few excerpts from their filings. The briefs are also [available here](#).

* * *

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ([EPA](#))

* * *

18 States, plus the District of Columbia

Participating as Intervenors

California ◊ Connecticut ◊ Delaware ◊ District of Columbia ◊ Hawai'i ◊ Illinois ◊ Iowa ◊ Maine ◊ Maryland ◊ Massachusetts ◊ Minnesota ◊ New Hampshire ◊ New Mexico ◊ New York ◊ Oregon ◊ Rhode Island ◊ Virginia ◊ Vermont ◊ Washington

“State Intervenors have a compelling and urgent interest in reducing dangerous carbon-dioxide pollution from the largest source of those emissions: fossil-fueled power plants. Our residents and businesses are already experiencing harms from climate change, such as flooding from rising seas, increasingly severe storms, and prolonged droughts. Unless greenhouse gases are significantly reduced, climate change threatens to worsen these harms as well as to increase extreme heat and ozone pollution, which lead to premature deaths. . . . Absent meaningful federal regulation like the [Clean Power Plan], State Intervenors may be unable to obtain needed reductions in carbon-dioxide emissions from existing power plants located in other States.” ([States’ Brief at 1, 3](#))

60 Municipalities

From 28 different states, with a total population of 33 million

Bolded cities are in states that are either opposing or not participating in the CPP litigation

Municipalities Participating as Intervenors

Boulder, CO
Chicago, IL

New York, NY
Philadelphia, PA

South Miami, FL
Broward County, FL

Municipalities Participating as Friends of the Court

Nat'l League of Cities	Orlando, FL	Portland, ME	Milwaukie, OR
US Council of Mayors	Pinecrest, FL	Ann Arbor, MI	Portland, OR
Tucson, AZ	W. Palm Beach, FL	Grand Rapids, MI	Pittsburgh, PA
Berkeley, CA	Clarkston, GA	Minneapolis, MN	West Chester, PA
Los Angeles, CA	Boise, ID	Missoula, MT	Providence, RI
Oakland, CA	Aurora, IL	Chapel Hill, NC	Knoxville, TN
San Francisco, CA	Elgin, IL	Hoboken, NJ	Dallas, TX
West Hollywood, CA	Evanston, IL	Jersey City, NJ	Houston, TX
Boulder Co., CO	Highland Park, IL	Henderson, NV	Salt Lake City, UT
Fort Collins, CO	Bloomington, IN	Reno, NV	Arlington Co., VA
Coral Gables, FL	Carmel, IN	Rochester, NY	Bellingham, WA
Cutler Bay, FL	Boston, MA	Syracuse, NY	King County, WA
Miami, FL	Holyoke, MA	Newburgh Heights, OH	Madison, WI
Miami Beach, FL	Baltimore, MD	Eugene, OR	Washburn, WI

“Cities . . . are America’s “first responders” to climate change.” ([Amicus Cities Brief at 2](#))

“The acute relevance of anthropogenic climate change to cities’ responsibilities has focused Local Government Coalition members’ attention on the dangers of failing to mitigate climate change, as well as on the pressing need to adapt to it. . . . Educated by their experiences and anticipating the still more dramatic climatic changes looming in the foreseeable future, amici write in support of EPA and of the Clean Power Plan.” ([Amicus Cities Brief at 9](#))

* * *

Power Companies
Participating as Intervenors

These 10 companies control 100,000 megawatts of generating capacity — nearly 10 percent of the nation’s total — that serve millions of customers in 26 states across the country, both in competitive and vertically-integrated markets. ([Power Companies’ Brief at 1](#))

Calpine	NextEra
Austin Energy	NY Power Authority
Seattle City Light	Sacramento Municipal Utility District
National Grid Generation	Southern California Edison Co.
PG&E	Los Angeles DWP

“The [Clean Power Plan] harnesses existing trends within the electricity sector, recognizing the practical realities of how the integrated electricity grid operates and how utilities are already achieving CO₂ reductions. It leverages strategies already widely used by the Power Companies and the broader industry to reduce emissions and deliver power at least cost to consumers, including shifting generation towards cleaner and renewable sources and emissions trading. It

provides tremendous flexibility to states and power companies to achieve its emission performance goals however they see fit. The Power Companies' collective experience reducing emissions within their respective generation portfolios demonstrates the reasonableness and achievability of those goals.” ([Power Companies' Brief at 1-2](#))

In a separate filing, energy company Dominion Resources, Inc., added:

“From Dominion’s perspective, the Rule is compatible with current trends toward additional renewable and natural gas generation in the power sector based on market conditions and customer demands, as well as already-finalized state and federal environmental requirements aimed at pollutants that have long been subject to federal regulation under the Clean Air Act.” ([Dominion’s Brief at 2-3](#))

“Dominion Resources, Inc. ("Dominion") is an investor owned energy company that owns and operates Dominion Virginia Power, a fully integrated electric utility, serving approximately 2.4 million customers in Virginia and more than 100,000 customers in North Carolina. Dominion serves these customers with a diverse fleet of coal-fired and natural gas-fired generation facilities, four carbon-free nuclear units, and a growing portfolio of renewable generation. Many of Dominion's existing power plants will be subject to regulation under the Clean Power Plan. . . . Dominion owns and operates approximately 24,300 megawatts of generating capacity.” ([Dominion’s Brief at 1](#))

High Tech Companies
Amazon, Apple, Google, Microsoft
Participating as Friends of the Court

“Tech Amici are among the most successful and innovative businesses in the United States, with a collective market capitalization of over \$1.7 trillion and hundreds of thousands of employees located in every region of the country.” ([Tech Brief at 4](#))

“Tech Amici’s experience suggests that the Clean Power Plan will provide considerable benefits to electricity purchasers and that the Plan will not only be good for the environment, it will be good for business. Tech Amici thus have a significant interest in the success of the Clean Power Plan.” ([Tech Brief at 4](#))

“[T]he Plan will help address climate change by reinforcing current trends that are making renewable energy supplies more robust, more reliable, and more affordable. Tech Amici welcome these developments.” ([Tech Brief at 4](#))

You can also read [Google’s blog post](#) about their filing.

Leading Consumer Brands
Mars, IKEA, Adobe, Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Massachusetts
Participating as Friends of the Court

“The Amici Companies . . . are all corporate electricity consumers and purchasers. They represent a diverse set of industries from software product solutions, to furniture and home furnishings, food and other consumer goods, and insurance. These companies are some of this nation’s most prominent and most recognizable consumer brands and businesses, and their operations span the entire United States. Together, the Amici Companies use a significant amount of electricity to power their business operations, manufacturing facilities, warehouses, data centers, and other infrastructure in the United States. . . . It is important to the Amici Companies that they reduce their carbon footprints by procuring their electricity from low- and zero-emitting greenhouse gas sources, not only to be good stewards of the environment and of the public health and welfare, but also because it preserves their economic interests.” ([Consumer Brands Brief at 2](#))

“[T]he Amici Companies support complete and swift implementation of the Clean Power Plan to protect the public health and welfare.” ([Consumer Brands Brief at 25](#))

* * *

Advanced Energy Associations
Representing more than 3,000 companies and organizations in the advanced energy sector, a
\$200 billion industry in the United States
Participating as Intervenors

American Wind Energy Association
Solar Energy Industries Association
Advanced Energy Economy

“[T]he agency relied on extensive data to project growth in renewable generating capacity and to determine the increased electricity that can be generated by zero-emitting renewable energy.” ([Advanced Energy Associations’ Brief at 2](#))

“While Petitioners [opposed to the Clean Power Plan] may *disagree* with [EPA’s] determinations, they do not show (as they must) that the agency failed to engage in reasoned decision-making. To the contrary, EPA’s targets are consistent with proven, well-established practices in the industry and in line with current industry trends.” ([Advanced Energy Associations’ Brief at 2](#))

25 Business Associations
Participating as Friends of the Court

American Sustainable Business Council	National Small Business Network
South Carolina Small Business Chamber of Commerce	New York State Sustainable Business Council
U.S. Black Chambers, Inc.	P3Utah
Climate Action Business Association, New England	Business and Labor Coalition of New York
Pioneer Valley Local First	Small Business Minnesota
Local First Ithaca	Metro Independent Business Council (Minneapolis)
Green America	Lowcountry Local First (South Carolina)
Kentucky Sustainable Business Council	Local First Arizona
West Virginia Sustainable Business Council	Sustainable Business Network of Massachusetts
Ohio Sustainable Business Council	Sustainable Business Network of Greater Philadelphia
Idaho Clean Energy Association	Hampton Roads Hispanic Chamber of Commerce
Integrative Healthcare Policy Consortium	Heartland Black Chamber of Commerce (Kansas)
Sustainable Furnishings Council	

“The Plan will stimulate economic growth and job creation in clean and renewable energy, energy efficiency, and other energy sources and technologies. By contrast, the cost of unchecked climate change that can be quantified economically dwarfs the costs of the Plan—even without considering the enormous unquantifiable costs of climate change.” ([*Business Associations’ Brief at 1-2*](#))

* * *

208 Members of Congress

157 Representatives; 36 Senators; 15 former members
Participating as Friends of the Court

“[The Clean Power Plan] is entirely consistent with the text, structure, and history of the CAA and, in fact, advances the objectives Congress set out to accomplish in the CAA. If this Court were to accept petitioners’ argument, it would fundamentally undermine the statutory program that Congress put in place when it enacted the CAA.” ([*Congressional Brief at 2-3*](#))

Representatives

Ruben Gallego (Arizona)	Alan Grayson (Florida)	Bonnie Watson Coleman (New Jersey)
Raul M. Grijalva (Arizona)	Alcee L. Hastings (Florida)	Ben Ray Lujan (New Mexico)
Pete Aguilar (California)	Patrick Murphy (Florida)	Michelle Lujan Grisham (New Mexico)
Karen Bass (California)	Debbie Wasserman Schultz (Florida)	Joseph Crowley (New York)
Xavier Becerra (California)	Frederica S. Wilson (Florida)	Eliot L. Engel (New York)
Ami Bera (California)	Henry C. Johnson (Georgia)	Brian Higgins (New York)
Julia Brownley (California)	John Lewis (Georgia)	Steve Israel (New York)
Lois Capps (California)	K. Mark Takai (Hawai’i)	Hakeem Jeffries (New York)
Tony Cardenas (California)	Cheri Bustos (Illinois)	Nita M. Lowey (New York)
Judy Chu (California)	Danny K. Davis (Illinois)	Carolyn B. Maloney (New York)

Susan A. Davis (California)
Mark DeSaulnier (California)
Anna G. Eshoo (California)
Sam Farr (California)
John Garamendi (California)
Janice Hahn (California)
Michael M. Honda (California)
Jared Huffman (California)
Barbara Lee (California)
Ted Lieu (California)
Zoe Lofgren (California)
Alan S. Lowenthal (California)
Doris Okada Matsui (California)
Jerry McNerney (California)
Grace F. Napolitano (California)
Nancy Pelosi (California)
Scott Peters (California)
Lucille Roybal-Allard (California)
Raul Ruiz (California)
Loretta Sanchez (California)
Linda T. Sanchez (California)
Adam B. Schiff (California)
Brad Sherman (California)
Jackie Speier (California)
Eric Swalwell (California)
Mark Takano (California)
Mike Thompson (California)
Juan Vargas (California)
Maxine Waters (California)
Diana DeGette (Colorado)
Ed Perlmutter (Colorado)
Jared Polis (Colorado)
Joseph Courtney (Connecticut)
Rosa L. DeLauro (Connecticut)
Elizabeth Esty (Connecticut)
Jim Himes (Connecticut)
John B. Larson (Connecticut)
John Carney (Delaware)
Eleanor Norton (District of Columbia)
Corrine Brown (Florida)
Katherine Castor (Florida)
Theodore Deutch (Florida)
Lois Frankel (Florida)

Tammy Duckworth (Illinois)
Bill Foster (Illinois)
Luis V. Gutierrez (Illinois)
Robin Kelly (Illinois)
Daniel Lipinski (Illinois)
Michael Quigley (Illinois)
Bobby L. Rush (Illinois)
Janice D. Schakowsky (Illinois)
Andre Carson (Indiana)
David Loebsack (Iowa)
John A. Yarmuth (Kentucky)
Cedric L. Richmond (Louisiana)
Chellie Pingree (Maine)
Elijah E. Cummings (Maryland)
John Delaney (Maryland)
Donna Edwards (Maryland)
Steny H. Hoyer (Maryland)
C.A. Ruppersberger (Maryland)
John P. Sarbanes (Maryland)
Christopher Van Hollen (Maryland)
Katherine Clark (Massachusetts)
Bill Keating (Massachusetts)
Joseph Kennedy (Massachusetts)
Stephen F. Lynch (Massachusetts)
James P. McGovern (Massachusetts)
Seth Moulton (Massachusetts)
Richard E. Neal (Massachusetts)
Niki Tsongas (Massachusetts)
John Conyers (Michigan)
Debbie Dingell (Michigan)
Daniel Kildee (Michigan)
Brenda Lawrence (Michigan)
Sander M. Levin (Michigan)
Keith M. Ellison (Minnesota)
Betty McCollum (Minnesota)
Emanuel Cleaver (Missouri)
Dina Titus (Nevada)
Ann Kuster (New Hampshire)
Donald Norcross (New Jersey)
Frank Pallone (New Jersey)
Bill Pascrell, Jr. (New Jersey)
Donald Payne (New Jersey)
Albio Sires (New Jersey)

Sean Maloney (New York)
Gregory W. Meeks (New York)
Grace Meng (New York)
Jerrold Nadler (New York)
Charles B. Rangel (New York)
Kathleen Rice (New York)
Jose E. Serrano (New York)
Louise McIntosh Slaughter (New York)
Paul Tonko (New York)
Alma Adams (North Carolina)
George K. Butterfield (North Carolina)
David E. Price (North Carolina)
Gregorio Sablan (Northern Mariana)
Earl Blumenauer (Oregon)
Suzanne Bonamici (Oregon)
Peter A. DeFazio (Oregon)
Kurt Schrader (Oregon)
Brendan F. Boyle (Pennsylvania)
Robert A. Brady (Pennsylvania)
Matthew Cartwright (Pennsylvania)
Michael F. Doyle (Pennsylvania)
Chaka Fattah (Pennsylvania)
David Cicilline (Rhode Island)
James R. Langevin (Rhode Island)
James E. Clyburn (South Carolina)
Stephen I. Cohen (Tennessee)
Joaquin Castro (Texas)
Sheila Jackson Lee (Texas)
Eddie Bernice Johnson (Texas)
Beto O'Rourke (Texas)
Peter F. Welch (Vermont)
Donald Beyer (Virginia)
Gerald E. Connolly (Virginia)
Robert C. Scott (Virginia)
Suzan DelBene (Washington)
Denny Heck (Washington)
Derek C. Kilmer (Washington)
Jim McDermott (Washington)
Adam Smith (Washington)
Gwen Moore (Wisconsin)
Mark Pocan (Wisconsin)

Senators

Barbara Boxer (California)

Gary Peters (Michigan)

Jeffrey Merkley (Oregon)

Dianne Feinstein (California)
Michael Bennet (Colorado)
Richard Blumenthal (Connecticut)
Thomas R. Carper (Delaware)
Christopher Coons (Delaware)
Mazie Hirono (Hawai'i)
Brian Schatz (Hawai'i)
Richard J. Durbin (Illinois)
Angus King (Maine)
Benjamin L. Cardin (Maryland)
Edward J. Markey (Massachusetts)

Debbie Stabenow (Michigan)
Al Franken (Minnesota)
Amy Klobuchar (Minnesota)
Harry Reid (Nevada)
Jeanne Shaheen (New Hampshire)
Cory A. Booker (New Jersey)
Robert Menendez (New Jersey)
Martin T. Heinrich (New Mexico)
Kirsten E. Gillibrand (New York)
Charles E. Schumer (New York)
Sherrod Brown (Ohio)

Ron Wyden (Oregon)
Robert P. Casey (Pennsylvania)
Jack Reed (Rhode Island)
Sheldon Whitehouse (Rhode Island)
Patrick J. Leahy (Vermont)
Bernard Sanders (Vermont)
Tim Kaine (Virginia)
Mark Warner (Virginia)
Maria Cantwell (Washington)
Patty Murray (Washington)
Tammy Baldwin (Wisconsin)

Former Members

George Miller (California)
Henry Waxman (California)
Timothy E. Wirth (Colorado)
Joseph I. Lieberman (Connecticut)
Tom Harkin (Iowa)

George J. Mitchell (Maine)
Carl Levin (Michigan)
Milton "Bob" Carr (Michigan)
David Durenberger (Minnesota)
Robert J. Kerrey (Nebraska)

Bill Hughes (New Jersey)
Sherwood Boehlert (New York)
Thomas Downey (New York)
Thomas A. Daschle (South Dakota)
Jim Moran (Virginia)

* * *

Leading Experts in National Security, Energy, Climate Science and Environmental Law

Participating as Friends of the Court

- National security experts **Madeleine K. Albright; Leon E. Panetta; William J. Burns**: “[G]lobal warming makes the world more volatile and less safe — which provides another reason why concerted, persistent action by the United States is of paramount importance. Over the past decade, American military leaders consistently have highlighted the national security implications of global warming.” ([National Security Brief at 11](#))
- Two former EPA Administrators, **William Ruckelshaus** (appointed by Nixon and Reagan) and **William Reilly** (appointed by George H.W. Bush): “The Plan is a pragmatic, flexible, and cost-effective pollution control program, which properly respects State sovereignty by affording States substantial authority and latitude to decide whether and how best to administer its provisions.” ([Former Administrator Brief at 1](#))
- **Institute for Policy Integrity** at NYU School of Law: “[T]he rule relies on familiar, flexible reduction techniques that EPA has used for several decades and under administrations of both parties. Courts have repeatedly upheld these techniques as reasonable exercises of EPA’s discretion.” ([IPI Brief at 4](#))
- Lead drafters of the 1970 Clean Air Act **Tom Jorling** and **Leon Billings**: “The 1970 Amendments were designed as an all-encompassing scheme for the regulation of

emissions of any and all air pollutants that are harmful to human health and the environment. They granted EPA the flexibility to regulate all known and later discovered air pollutants. The purpose of this statutory scheme was to ‘establish that the air is a public resource’ and to provide an ‘intensive and comprehensive attack on air pollution’. Regulation of carbon dioxide is clearly contemplated by this design.” ([Clean Air Act Experts’ Brief at 5](#))

- **Electricity Grid Experts:** “[T]he Rule respects and harnesses the routine shifting of generation among sources to cost-effectively reduce CO₂ emissions from the machine as a whole. . . . The gradual shifts that the Rule promotes are modest compared to broader changes already underway, as the power sector trends away from coal and toward cheaper, more efficient lower-carbon sources.” ([Grid Experts’ Brief at 15](#))
- **20 Climate Scientists:** “[A]n overwhelming consensus has developed within the scientific community: climate change is occurring, and human activities are extremely likely the dominant cause. . . . We are not lawyers or policymakers, and we are not attempting to present ourselves as such. But we weigh in, in this amicus brief, to elaborate on the need to address anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases, based on our current understanding of the science. We believe that the Clean Power Plan is a welcome beginning.” ([Climate Scientists’ Brief at 12](#))

* * *

16 Former State Energy and Environmental Officials

Participating as Friends of the Court

Matt Baker (Colorado) – former PUC Commissioner

Janet Gail Besser (Massachusetts) – former Chair and Commissioner, Department of Telecommunications and Energy

Ron Binz (Colorado) – former PUC Chairman

Garry Brown (New York) – former Chair and Commissioner, Public Service Commission

Michael H. Dworkin (Vermont) – former Chairman, Public Service Board

Jeanne Fox (New Jersey) – former President and Commissioner, Board of Public Utilities

Dian Grueneich (California) – former PUC Commissioner

Paul Hibbard (Massachusetts) – former Chair, Department of Public Utilities

Karl Rábago (Texas) – former PUC Commissioner

Cheryl Roberto (Ohio) – former PUC Commissioner

Barbara Roberts (Colorado) – former Chair, Air Quality Control Commission

Jim Roth (Oklahoma) – former Commissioner, Oklahoma Corporation Commission

Larry Soward (Texas) – former Commissioner, Commission on Environmental Quality

Kelly Speakes-Backman (Maryland) – former Commissioner, Public Service Commission

Sue Tierney (Massachusetts & DOE) – former PUC Commissioner, former DOE Assistant Secretary

Kathryn Watson (Indiana) – former branch chief, Air Programs, Department of Environmental Management

* * *

9 Leading Health Associations

Participating as Friends of the Court

American Thoracic Society

American Medical Association

American Academy of Pediatrics

American College of Preventive Medicine

American College of Occupational and
Environmental Medicine

National Medical Association

American Pediatric Association

National Association for Medical Direction of
Respiratory Care

American Public Health Association

“Direct impacts from the changing climate include heat-related illness, declines in air quality, and increased respiratory and cardiovascular illness. The extreme weather expected to occur alongside climate change may lead to injury, disability, and death. Changes in climate also facilitate the migration of mosquito-borne diseases, such as dengue fever and malaria, into new locations, increasing exposure to these and other pathogens. These harmful effects are particularly potent for vulnerable populations such as children, the elderly, communities of color, and the poor. . . . Failure to uphold the Clean Power Plan would undermine EPA’s ability to carry out its legal obligation to regulate carbon emissions that endanger human health, and would negatively impact the health of current and future generations of Americans.” ([Health Associations’ Brief at 2-3](#))

* * *

Service Employees International Union

A labor union of more than two million people in the United States (including Puerto Rico) and Canada, and the largest health care union in the United States

Participating as a Friend of the Court

“SEIU is increasingly aware that social and economic justice will remain out of reach for working families unless the harms arising from air pollution and climate change are addressed ... Its members, many of whom live, or are caregivers in, vulnerable communities, have experience with pollution-related health conditions that have become increasingly common consequences of climate change.” ([Service Employees International Union Brief at 15](#))

Ratepayer and Consumer Protection Organization
Consumers Union, Public Citizen, Illinois Citizens Utility Board
Participating as Friends of the Court

“The EPA’s final Clean Power Plan leverages energy-efficiency opportunities to achieve greenhouse-gas emission reductions in a way that directly benefits consumers, low-income households, and other electricity ratepayers. . . . A wealth of empirical evidence over the past decade shows that clean-power programs improve access to affordable electricity, reduce greenhouse-gas emissions, and increase energy efficiency for utilities, businesses, and consumers alike.”
(Consumer and Ratepayer Organizations’ Brief at 2-3)

* * *

41 Faith Communities
Participating as Friends of the Court

Catholic Climate Covenant
Catholic Rural Life
Evangelical Environmental Network
National Council of Churches USA
Coalition on the Environment and Jewish Life
Church World Service
Union of Reform Judaism
Women of Reform Judaism
National Baptist Convention of America
Progressive National Baptist Convention
Hazon
Sisters of Mercy of the Americas, Institute Leadership Team
Maryknoll Sisters
Sisters of the Divine Compassion
The Columban Center for Advocacy and Outreach
Cabrini College
Fordham University
University of San Diego
Center for Sustainability at Saint Louis University
Center for Human Rights and International Justice, Boston College
The Boisi Center of Boston College
Conference for Mercy Higher Education
University of San Francisco
Le Moyne College
The Center for Peace and Justice Education
Loyola University Maryland
The College of the Holy Cross

Florida Council of Churches
Wisconsin Council of Churches
The Diocese of Stockton, California
The Diocese of Des Moines, Iowa
The Diocese of Davenport, Iowa
Catholic Committee of Appalachia
Sisters of Charity of New York
Dominican Sisters of Springfield, IL
Sisters of St. Joseph Earth Center
Sisters of St. Joseph Peace Leadership Team
Sisters of Charity of Saint Elizabeth Office of Peace, Justice and Ecological Integrity
School Sisters of Notre Dame Atlantic Midwest Province Department of Justice, Peace
and Integrity of Creation
Buffalo Diocese Care for Creation Committee
Dominican Sisters of Grand Rapids

* * *

Leading Health and Environmental Organizations

Participating as intervenors

American Lung Association; Center for Biological Diversity; Clean Air Council;
Clean Wisconsin; Coal River Mountain Watch; Conservation Law Foundation;
Environmental Defense Fund; Kanawha Forest Coalition; Keepers of the
Mountains Foundation; Mon Valley Clean Air Coalition; Natural Resources
Defense Council; The Ohio Environmental Council; Ohio Valley Environmental
Coalition; Sierra Club; West Virginia Highlands Conservancy

Participating as amici

Union of Concerned Scientists